

Fatigue. Risk. Assessment.

Kris Tritschler

Director, smartshiftwork.com



Fatigue Management Approaches Symposium

5-6 April 2016, Montréal, Canada

Is Human Fatigue a Risk?





Risk primarily depends on: What you are doing or intend to do (task)





Is Human Fatigue a Risk?







Fatigue by itself is a regular state, experienced every day. To make fatigue a hazard, we need a safety related task.

Fatigue. Risk. Assessment.

Challenges to assess the risks associated with "fatigue"

Fatigue Risk Assessment using a Risk Matrix

Fatigue Specific Severity Classifications

Fatigue Factor Assessment Table

Summary & Conclusion

ICAO Definition of Fatigue

Human fatigue can be defined as:

A physiological state of reduced mental or physical performance capability

resulting from sleep loss or extended wakefulness, circadian phase, or workload (mental and/or physical activity)

that can impair a person's alertness and ability to perform <u>safety related</u> operational duties.

Key Characteristics of Fatigue: Each Contains a Hazard

Human fatigue can be defined as:

A physiological state of reduced mental or physical performance capability

resulting from sleep loss or extended wakefulness, circadian phase, or workload (mental and/or physical activity)

that can impair a person's alertness and ability to perform <u>safety related</u> operational duties.

Reduced Performance Capability


Impairment


Consequences

Task Demand


6 April 2016








What is the real risk of fatigue?



What is the real risk of fatigue?



What is the real risk of fatigue? When task demands exceed available human performance capabilities; Worst Case: **Human Performance Capability Catastrophic Accidents** Fatigue impairment is possibly Performance neither identified nor excluded without a mature human factor investigation process Fatigue is rarely the sole cause Threat of an accident in aviation Task Demand

Time

Fatigue. Risk. Assessment.

Challenges to assess the risks associated with "fatigue"

Fatigue Risk Assessment using a Risk Matrix

Fatigue Specific Severity Classifications

Fatigue Factor Assessment Table

Summary & Conclusion

Severity Classification related to ICAO Definition of Fatigue

Human fatigue can be defined as:

A physiological state of reduced mental or physical performance capability

resulting from sleep loss or extended wakefulness, circadian phase, or workload (mental and/or physical activity)

that can impair a person's alertness and ability to perform <u>safety related</u> <u>operational duties</u>.

Safety Risk
Assessments
typically take the
worst consequence
as severity into
account:

Consequences

MAS2016

Safety Risk Severity [ICAO SMM Doc 9859 Figure 2-12]					
Severity	Meaning				
Catastrophic	Multiple deathsEquipment destroyed		Α		
Hazardous	- A large reduction in safety margins, physical distress or a workload such that crewmembers cannot be relied upon to perform their tasks accurately or completely - Serious injury - Major equipment damage				
Major		ty margins, a reduction in the ability of crewmembers g conditions as a result of increase in workload, or as g their efficiency	С		
Minor	NuisanceOperating limitationsUse of emergency proceduresMinor incident		D		
Negligible	- Little consequences		Е		

Severity Classifications based on ICAO Definition of Fatigue

Human fatigue can be defined as:

A physiological state of reduced mental or physical performance capability

Safety Margin

resulting from sleep loss or extended wakefulness, circadian phase, or workload (mental and/or physical activity)

that can impair a person's alertness and ability to perform <u>safety related</u> <u>operational duties</u>.

Consequences


FMAS2016

Safety Risk Severity [ICAO SMM Doc 9859 Figure 2-12]					
Severity	Meaning	Value			
Catastrophic	Multiple deathsEquipment destroyed	Α			
 Hazardous A large reduction in safety margins, physical distress or a workload such that crewmembers cannot be relied upon to perform their tasks accurately or completely Serious injury Major equipment damage 					
Major	 A significant reduction in safety margins, a reduction in the ability of crewmembers to cope with adverse operating conditions as a result of increase in workload, or as a result of conditions impairing their efficiency Serious incident Injury to persons 	С			
Minor	 Nuisance Operating limitations Use of emergency procedures Minor incident 	D			
Negligible	- Little consequences	Е			



Typical Risk Matrix

ICAO



6 April 2016

Fatigue Specific Risk Assessments

- Existing SMS risk assessment methodologies may be sufficient within prescribed limits
- Using an FRMS requires more effort on fatiguespecific risk assessment

Fatigue. Risk. Assessment.

Challenges to assess the risks associated with "fatigue"

Fatigue Risk Assessment using a Risk Matrix

Fatigue Specific Severity Classifications

Fatigue Factor Assessment Table

Summary & Conclusion

Fatigue Specific Risk Assessment

- To assess different types of fatigue risks using a matrix, different severity classifications are needed
- Likelihood classifications depend on the severity classification

Severity Classifications based on ICAO Definition of Fatigue

Human fatigue can be defined as:

A physiological state of reduced mental or physical performance capability

resulting from sleep loss or extended wakefulness, circadian phase, or workload (mental and/or physical activity)

that can impair a person's alertness and ability to perform safety related operational duties.

Performance Margin

Number of Factors

Impairment

Alertness

Consequences

2016

Severity Classifications based on ICAO Definition of Fatigue

ICAO SMM Severity Classification incl. "Safety Margin" (reports)

Performance Margin

Fatigue Factor Assessment and Mitigation Table (duties)

Number of Factors

Samn-Perelli (fatigue reports, surveys)

Impairment

Bio-Mathematical Thresholds, e.g. KSS (rosters, duties)

Alertness

ICAO SMM Severity Classification (general safety assessment)

Consequences

Severity Classifications related to ICAO Definition of Fatigue

Human fatigue can be defined as:

A physiological state of reduced mental or physical performance capability

resulting from sleep loss or extended wakefulness, circadian phase, or workload (mental and/or physical activity)

that can impair a person's alertness and ability to perform safety related operational duties.





Perceived Impairment (Fatigue Reports & Surveys)

Example: Samn-Perelli Check Fatigue Risk Severity									
S-P	Meaning	Value							
7	- Completely exhausted, unable to function effectively	А							
6	- Moderately tired, very difficult to concentrate	В							
5	- Moderately tired, let down	С							
4	- A little tired	D							
3-1	 Okay, somewhat fresh (3) Very lively, responsive, not at peak (2) Fully alert, wide awake (1) 	E							





Severity Classifications related to ICAO Definition of Fatigue

Human fatigue can be defined as:

A physiological state of reduced mental or physical performance capability

resulting from sleep loss or extended wakefulness, circadian phase, or workload (mental and/or physical activity)

that can impair a person's alertness and ability to perform safety related operational duties.



Alertness



6 April 2016

Predicted Alertness by Bio-Mathematical Models

	Example: KSS Fatigue Risk Severity							
KSS	Meaning	Value						
9-8	- extremely sleepy, fighting sleep	А						
8-7	- sleepy	В						
7-6	- sleepy, but no difficulty remaining awake	С						
6-5	- neither sleepy nor alert	D						
4-3	- Alert	Е						
2-1	- Extremly alert							







Fatigue. Risk. Assessment.

Challenges to assess the risks associated with "fatigue"

Fatigue Risk Assessment using a Risk Matrix

Fatigue Specific Severity Classifications

Fatigue Factor Assessment Table

Summary & Conclusion



Severity Classification related to ICAO Definition of Fatigue

Human fatigue can be defined as:

A physiological state of reduced mental or physical performance capability

resulting from sleep loss or extended wakefulness, circadian phase, or workload (mental and/or physical activity)

that can impair a person's alertness and ability to perform safety related operational duties.



Number of Factors



6 April 2016

Fatigue Factor Assessment and Mitigation Table

- Practicable approach for the assessment of a duty
- This example is based on relevant scientific research and operational FRMS experience of this operator
- Customisation is required for any other operator
- It needs to be related to a safety relevant task





	Fatigue Factor Assessment and Mitigation Table					
	Type of Shift/Specific Duty:					
	Fatigue Factor:	Worst Case:	Mitigated:	Comment:		
Sleep debt	Previous night sleep ** reduced < 4h (night: 22-08LT) Previous night sleep ** reduced > 4h Reduced night sleep > 4h before previous night *** Previous "night duty" ** (day sleep only)**	Sled	ep De	bt		
Wakefulness	Time since awake prior duty start > 2h prior C/I* Time since awake prior duty start > 6h prior C/I* Time on task > 10h (FDT) Time on task > 12h < 14h (FDT)	Wak	efuln	ess		
Circadian Factors	Circadian disruption > 4h ** Flight after 2300LT or last	Circad	ian Fa	ctors		
Workload	3 or 4 consecutive flights/sectors 5 or 6 flights / or: 3 flights during night Known hassles Training flights	Wo	rkloa	d		
	Sum of fatigue factors					
	Mark every line: 1 = relevant; 0 = actively avoided; = not present					
	Assessment of fatigue factors: 0-3 relevant factors: accept 4-6 relevant factors: check 7-9 relevant factors: mitigate >10 relevant factors: not acceptable * Crew member's responsibility ** Depending on preceding duty *** The night before 2 consecutive nights are relevant factors:					
	Factors are not fully weighted Most important factors are sle		ulness, circadian	factors then workload in this order.		
	Tritschler 2016; ICAO Fatigue Management Symposium 2016					

FMAS2016

About:

- All 4 causes of fatigue are taken into account
- Each line is based on a scientific statement / study
- Factors are not fully weighted



	Fatigue Factor Assessment and Mitigation Table					
	Type of Shift/Specific Duty:	CGN-TFS-CGN: Checkin 1600LT, Checkout 0300LT; FDT: 11:00h				
	Fatigue Factor:	Worst Case: Mitigated: Comment:				
	Previous night sleep ** reduced < 4h (night: 22-08LT)	1**		Not relevant if 1st duty day		
debt	Previous night sleep ** reduced > 4h	1**				
Sleep debt	Reduced night sleep > 4h before previous night ***	1***				
	Previous "night duty" ** (day sleep only)**	1**				
	Time since awake prior duty start > 2h prior C/I*	1				
Wakefulness	Time since awake prior duty start > 6h prior C/I*	1				
Vakef	Time on task > 10h (FDT)	1		FDT > 10h at night (!)		
	Time on task > 12h < 14h (FDT)					
ors	Circadian disruption > 4h **	1**				
Circadian Factors	Flight after 2300LT or last landing during darkness	1				
cadiar	Flighttime <2h during WOCL (02-06LT)	1				
Ċ	Flighttime > 2 h during WOCL (02-06LT)					
	3 or 4 consecutive flights/sectors					
Workload	5 or 6 flights / or: 3 flights during night					
Worl	Known hassles					
	Training flights	1				
	Sum of fatigue factors	11				
	Mark every line: 1 = relevan	t; 0 = actively a	avoided; = n	ot present		
	4-6 relevant factors: check ** Dep			Crew member's responsibility * Depending on preceding duty ** The night before 2 consecutive nights are relevant		
	>10 relevant factors: not acceptable Factors are not fully weighted! Most important factors are sleep debt, wakefulness, circadian factors then workload in this order.					
	Tritschler 2016; ICAO Fatigue Management Symposium 2016					

S2016

Step 1: Worst Case

Mark every line under existing conditions:

1 = relevant; -- not present



	Type of Shift/Specific Duty:	CGN-TFS-CG	CGN-TFS-CGN: Checkin 1600LT, Checkout 0300LT; FDT: 11:00h		
	Fatigue Factor:	Worst Case:	Mitigated: Comment:		
	Previous night sleep ** reduced < 4h (night: 22-08LT)	1**	If sle	eep reduced > 4h	
eep debt	Previous night sleep ** reduced > 4h	1**		ark both lines!	
Sleep	Reduced night sleep > 4h before previous night ***	1***			
	Previous "night duty" ** (day sleep only)**	1**			
3	Time since awake prior duty start > 2h prior C/I*	1			
Wakefulness	Time since awake prior duty start > 6h prior C/I*	1			
Naket	Time on task > 10h (FDT)	1		me on task > 12h	
	Time on task > 12h < 14h (FDT)		m	ark both lines!	
ors	Circadian disruption > 4h **	1		и	
Dircadian Factors	Flight after 2300LT or last landing during darkness	1			
sadiar	Flighttime <2h during WOCL (02-06LT)	1	lf f	flight time > 2h in	
Cir	Flighttime > 2 h during WOCL (02-06LT)		WOO	CL mark both lines!	
	3 or 4 consecutive flights/sectors		If co	onsecutive sectors	
Norkload	5 or 6 flights / or: 3 flights during night		> 4	mark both lines!	
Wor	Known hassles				
	Training flights	1			
	Sum of fatigue factors	11			
	Mark every line: 1 = relevan	t; 0 = actively	avoided; = n	ot present	
Assessment of fatigue factors: 0-3 relevant factors: accept 4-6 relevant factors: check 7-9 relevant factors: mitigate >10 relevant factors: not acceptable Factors are not fully weighted!			** Depending	nber's responsibility g on preceding duty pefore 2 consecutive nights are relevan	
Hactors are not fully weighted! Most important factors are sleep debt, wakefulness, circadian factors then workload in this				n factors then workload in this order.	

2016

Note:

Mark <u>every</u> relevant line means that two lines are relevant:

- ➤ If sleep reduced > 4h
- ➤ If time on task >12h
- ➤ If flight time during WOCL > 2h
- > If more than 4 sectors



	Fatigue Factor Assessment and Mitigation Table					
	Type of Shift/Specific Duty:	CGN-TFS-CGN: Checkin 1600LT, Checkout 0300LT; FDT: 11:00h				
	Fatigue Factor:	Worst Case:	Mitigated:	Comment:		
	Previous night sleep ** reduced < 4h (night: 22-08LT)	1**		Not relevant if 1st duty day		
debt	Previous night sleep ** reduced > 4h	1**				
Sleep debt	Reduced night sleep > 4h before previous night ***	1***				
	Previous "night duty" ** (day sleep only)**	1**				
	Time since awake prior duty start > 2h prior C/I*	1				
rlness	Time since awake prior duty start > 6h prior C/I*	1				
Wakefulness	Time on task > 10h (FDT)	1		FDT > 10h at night (!)		
_	Time on task > 12h < 14h (FDT)					
ors	Circadian disruption > 4h **	1**				
Circadian Factors	Flight after 2300LT or last landing during darkness	1				
cadiar	Flighttime <2h during WOCL (02-06LT)	1				
ö	Flighttime > 2 h during WOCL (02-06LT)					
	3 or 4 consecutive flights/sectors					
Workload	5 or 6 flights / or: 3 flights during night					
Worl	Known hassles					
	Training flights	1				
	Sum of fatigue factors	11				
	Mark every line: 1 = relevan	t; 0 = actively	avoided; = r	not present		
		ept ck jate acceptable	** Depending	nber's responsibility g on preceding duty before 2 consecutive nights are relevant		
	Factors are not fully weighted Most important factors are sle		ulness, circadia	n factors then workload in this order.		
	Tritschler 2016; ICAO Fatigue Management Symposium 2016					

2016

Step 1: Worst Case

- Mark every line under existing conditions:
- Sum relevant factors (fatigue factor score)



	Fatigue Factor Assessment and Mitigation Table					
	Type of Shift/Specific Duty:	CGN-TFS-CG	N: Checkin 1600	n 1600LT, Checkout 0300LT; FDT: 11:00h		
	Fatigue Factor:	Worst Case:	Mitigated:	Comment:		
	Previous night sleep ** reduced < 4h (night: 22-08LT)	1**		Not relevant if 1s	st duty day	
debt	Previous night sleep ** reduced > 4h	1**				
Sleep debt	Reduced night sleep > 4h before previous night ***	1***				
	Previous "night duty" ** (day sleep only)**	1**				
	Time since awake prior duty start > 2h prior C/I*	1				
Wakefulness	Time since awake prior duty start > 6h prior C/I*	1				
Vakef	Time on task > 10h (FDT)	1		FDT > 10h at nigh	ıt (!)	
_	Time on task > 12h < 14h (FDT)					
ors	Circadian disruption > 4h **	1**				
. Fact	Flight after 2300LT or last landing during darkness	1				
Circadian Factors	Flighttime <2h during WOCL (02-06LT)	1				
خَ	Flighttime > 2 h during WOCL (02-06LT)					
	3 or 4 consecutive flights/sectors					
load	5 or 6 flights / or: 3 flights during night					
Workload	Known hassles					
	Training flights	1		Δ	ssessment of I	
	Sum of fatigue factors	11	Relevan	Relevant factors Requirement		
			0.2		Accont	

Mark every line: 1 = relevant; 0 = actively a

Most important factors are sleep debt, wakefu Tritschler 2016; ICAO Fatigue Management S

>10_relevant factors: __not acceptable. Factors are not fully weighted!

Assessment of fatigue factors:

2016

Step 1: Worst Case

- Mark every line under existing conditions:
- Sum relevant factors
- First Assessment

Assessment of Fatigue Factors under Existing Conditions (Column 1):					
Relevant factors Requirement Action					
0-3	Accept	No mitigation required			
4-6 Check Identify mitigations to reduce relevant fatigue factors		Identify mitigations to reduce relevant fatigue factors			
7-9	Mitigate	itigate Identify mitigations to reduce the remaining fatigue factors to			
		the minimum			
> 9	Not Acceptable	Identify mitigations to reduce the remaining fatigue factors to			
	an acceptable minimum. If not possible this duty is not				
		permissible			



	Fatigue Factor Assessment and Mitigation Table					
	Type of Shift/Specific Duty:	CGN-TFS-CGN: Checkin 1600LT, Checkout 0300LT; FDT: 11:00h				
	Fatigue Factor:	Worst Case:	Mitigated:	Comment:		
	Previous night sleep ** reduced < 4h (night: 22-08LT)	1**	1**	Not relevant if 1st duty day		
debt	Previous night sleep ** reduced > 4h	1**	0	Avoid previous day checkout after midnight		
Sleep	Reduced night sleep > 4h before previous night ***	1***	0	Avoid previous day checkout after midnight		
	Previous "night duty" ** (day sleep only)**	1**	0	Avoid previous day checkout after midnight		
	Time since awake prior duty start > 2h prior C/I*	1	1			
Wakefulness	Time since awake prior duty start > 6h prior C/I*	1	(1)	Recommend nap before duty		
Wakef	Time on task > 10h (FDT)	1	1	FDT > 10h at night (!)		
	Time on task > 12h < 14h (FDT)					
ors	Circadian disruption > 4h **	1**	0	Previous duties shall be "late duties" Relevant if 1 st duty day, see note abv		
Circadian Factors	Flight after 2300LT or last landing during darkness	1	1			
cadiar	Flighttime <2h during WOCL (02-06LT)	1	1			
ö	Flighttime > 2 h during WOCL (02-06LT)					
	3 or 4 consecutive flights/sectors					
Norkload	5 or 6 flights / or: 3 flights during night					
Work	Known hassles					
	Training flights	1	0	Avoid training on this duty		
	Sum of fatigue factors	11	6			
	Mark every line: 1 = relevan	•	avoided; = n	ot present		
		pt k ate acceptable	** Depending	ber's responsibility on preceding duty efore 2 consecutive nights are relevant		
Factors are not fully weighted! Most important factors are sleep debt, wakefulness, circadian factors then workload				factors then workload in this order.		
	Tritschler 2016; ICAO Fatigue Management Symposium 2016					

2016

Step 2: Mitigate!

• Identify avoidable factors:

Mark every line:

0 = actively avoided

1 = remains relevant;

-- = still not present



		Fatigue Factor Assessment and Mitigation Table					
		Type of Shift/Specific Duty:	CGN-TFS-CGN: Checkin 1600LT, Checkout 0300LT; FDT: 11:00h				
		Fatigue Factor:	Worst Case:	Mitigated:	Comment:		
Ī		Previous night sleep ** reduced < 4h (night: 22-08LT)	1**	1**	Not relevant if 1st duty day		
	debt	Previous night sleep ** reduced > 4h	1**	0	Avoid previous day checkout after midnight		
	Sleep	Reduced night sleep > 4h before previous night ***	1***	0	Avoid previous day checkout after midnight		
		Previous "night duty" ** (day sleep only)**	1**	0	Avoid previous day checkout after midnight		
Ī		Time since awake prior duty start > 2h prior C/I*	1	1			
	Nakefulness	Time since awake prior duty start > 6h prior C/I*	1	(1)	Recommend nap before duty		
	Nakef	Time on task > 10h (FDT)	1	1	FDT > 10h at night (!)		
	_	Time on task > 12h < 14h (FDT)					
	ors	Circadian disruption > 4h **	1**	0	Previous duties shall be "late duties" Relevant if 1 st duty day, see note abv		
	Dircadian Factors	Flight after 2300LT or last landing during darkness	1	1			
	cadia	Flighttime <2h during WOCL (02-06LT)	1	1			
	Ö	Flighttime > 2 h during WOCL (02-06LT)					
		3 or 4 consecutive flights/sectors					
	Norkload	5 or 6 flights / or: 3 flights during night					
	Wor	Known hassles					
		Training flights	1	0	Avoid training on this duty		
		Sum of fatigue factors	11	6			
		Mark every line: 1 = relevan		avoided; = n	ot present		
			pt k ate cceptable	** Depending	ber's responsibility on preceding duty vefore 2 consecutive nights are relevant		
	Factors are not fully weighted! Most important factors are sleep debt, wakefulness, circadian factors then workload in this order.						
		Tritschler 2016; ICAO Fatigue Management Symposium 2016					

2016

Step 2: Mitigate!

• Identify avoidable factors:

Mark every line:

Sum relevant fatigue factors



	Fatigue Factor Assessmer	nt and Mitigation	n Table						
	Type of Shift/Specific Duty:	CGN-TFS-CG	N: Checkin 160	OOLT, Checkout O3OOLT; FDT: 11:00h					
	Fatigue Factor:	Worst Case:	Mitigated:	Comment:					
₋Г	Previous night sleep ** reduced < 4h (night: 22-08LT)	1**	1**	Not relevant if 1st duty day					
‡		1**	0	Avoid previous day checkout of midnight	ıfter				
	Reduced night sleep > 4h before previous night ***	1***	0	Avoid previous day checkout of midnight	ıfter		-		
	Previous "night duty" ** (day sleep only)**	1**	0	Avoid previous day checkout of midnight	ıfter	Step 2: Mitigate!			
	Time since awake prior duty start > 2h prior C/I*	1	1						0.00
	Time since awake prior duty start > 6h prior C/I*	1	(1)	Recommend nap before duty		Identify avoidable fa			
Wakefulness	Time on task > 10h (FDT)	1	1	FDT > 10h at night (!)					avoidable tac
	Time on task > 12h < 14h (FDT)								
	Circadian disruption > 4h **	1**	0	Previous duties shall be "late Relevant if 1 st duty day, see n					
	disruption > 4h ** Flight after 2300LT or last landing during darkness	1	1			abv IVId		,	
:	Flighttime <2h during WOCL (02-06LT)	1	1				Sun	n rala	vant fatigue :
i	Flighttime > 2 h during WOCL (02-06LT)					Sum relevant fatigue			
	3 or 4 consecutive flights/sectors					C	l A		
	5 or 6 flights / or: 3 flights during night Known hassles					- Sec		ona A	ssessment -
	Known hassles								
	Training flights	1	0	Avoid training on this duty					
	Sum of fatigue factors	11	6		_			Factors after	Mitigating Actions (Column2)
	Mark every line: 1 = releval	nt: 0 = actively:	avoided: = r	Relevant factors	Fati	gue Im	pairment		Acceptability
	Assessment of fatique factors:			0-3 Low		I		Acceptable,	no further mitigation required
	0-3 relevant factors: accide-6 relevant factors: che	ept	* Crew mer ** Depending		Incr	eased			but keep remaining fatigue fact

Factors are not fully weighted!

6 April 2016

Most important factors are sleep debt, wakefulness, circadi Tritschler 2016; ICAO Fatique Management Symposium 20

Step 2: Mitigate!

- Identify avoidable factors:
 - Mark every line:
- Sum relevant fatigue factors
- Second Assessment acceptability

l	Acceptability of Fatigue Factors after Mitigating Actions (Column2)								
	Relevant factors	Fatigue Impairment	Acceptability						
= r	0-3	Low	Acceptable, no further mitigation required						
er	4-6	Increased	Acceptable, but keep remaining fatigue factors as low as						
ing ht			reasonably practicable; monitor operation						
_	7-9	Significant	Acceptable if remaining fatigue factors are kept at the						
lia			minimum (all avoidable fatigue factors are avoided), number						
01			of this duty is limited per crewmember per time-period;						
			monitoring of this work period required						
	> 9	High	Not acceptable						

Conclusion after Step 2:

This duty is not permissible without these mitigations:

- ➤ May be rostered only in combination with "late duties"
- > Previous duties shall be completed prior midnight
- > Training flights not recommended
- Promote nap prior duty
- > Fatigue impairment is expected to be increased
- Monitoring of this rotation required

FMAS2016

Step 3: Assess Fatigue Risk

Frequency of Exposure per Crewmember per Working Period (week)						
Relevant	May be scheduled	May be scheduled	May be scheduled	Unexpected		
fatigue	every day	twice per week	once per week	circumstances		
factors						
1-3	low	low	low	low		
4-6	moderate	moderate	low	low		
7-9	high	moderate	moderate	moderate		
> 9	high	high	high	high		

FSAG would recommend, to assign this duty (under mitigations) only once per working period for any pilot

Fatigue. Risk. Assessment.

Challenges to assess the risks associated with "fatigue"

Fatigue Risk Assessment using a Risk Matrix

Fatigue Specific Severity Classifications

Fatigue Factor Assessment Table

Summary & Conclusion

Summary

- Customisation required for any methodology, classification and risk tolerance thresholds
- 5 different severity classification for fatigue risk assessments
- Fatigue specific severity classes do not contain the task
- A safety relevant task is required for (fatigue) risk assessment
- ICAO basic risk matrix fulfils its objective for SMS
- Fatigue itself is a regular condition, occurring every day

Conclusion

- Current methodologies for assessing fatigue risks are all limited to some degree.
- With growing maturity of SMS and more operational FRMS experience, advances are continuing to be made in the way fatigue risks are assessed.

Further Guidance

- > Fatigue Management Guides 2016
- Technical paper published at EASA FRM Workshop 2015 "Tritschler 2015, Fatigue Risk Assessment Methodologies" Available at the EASA Website or http://www.smartshiftwork.com/sharing/publications/

Thank you